

HIGHER NASH BLOWUPS

TAKEHIKO YASUDA

ABSTRACT. For each non-negative integer n , we define the n -th Nash blowup of an algebraic variety, and call them all higher Nash blowups. When $n = 1$, it coincides with the classical Nash blowup. We study higher Nash blowups of curves in detail and prove that any curve in characteristic zero can be desingularized by its n -th Nash blowup with n large enough.

INTRODUCTION

The classical Nash blowup of an algebraic variety is the parameter space of the tangent spaces of smooth points and their limits, and the normalized Nash blowup is the Nash blowup followed by the normalization. It is natural to ask whether the iteration of Nash blowups or normalized Nash blowups leads to a smooth variety. There are works on this question, by Nobile [Nob], Rebassoo [Reb], González-Sprinberg [GS], Hironaka [Hir] and Spivakovsky [Spi]. If the answer is affirmative, we obtain a canonical way to resolve singularities.

In this paper, we make a similar but different approach to a resolution of singularities. Let X be an algebraic variety over an algebraically closed field k . For a point $x \in X$, we denote by $x^{(n)}$ its n -th infinitesimal neighborhood, that is, if $(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}, \mathfrak{m}_x)$ is the local ring at x , the closed subscheme $\mathrm{Spec} \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1} \subseteq X$. If x is a smooth point, being an Artinian subscheme of length $\binom{n+d}{d}$, $x^{(n)}$ corresponds to a point $[x^{(n)}]$ of the Hilbert scheme $\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{n+d}{d}}(X)$ of $\binom{n+d}{d}$ points of X . We define the n -th Nash blowup of X , denoted $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$, to be the closure of the set

$$\{(x, [x^{(n)}]) | x \text{ smooth point of } X\}$$

in $X \times_k \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{n+d}{d}}(X)$. We also call it a *higher Nash blowup* of X . The first projection restricted to $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$

$$\pi_n : \mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \rightarrow X$$

is a projective birational morphism which is an isomorphism over the smooth locus of X . The first Nash blowup is canonically isomorphic

Date: February 2, 2008.

to the classical Nash blowup (see Proposition 1.8). Every point of $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ corresponds to an Artinian subscheme Z of X which is set-theoretically a single point.

If $\mathbf{Nash}'_n(X)$ is the closure of $\{[x^{(n)}] \mid x \text{ smooth point of } X\}$ in $\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{n+d}{d}}(X)$, then there exists a natural morphism $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nash}_n(X')$, $(x, [Z]) \mapsto [Z]$. Thus $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is identified with the set of the n -th infinitesimal neighborhoods of smooth points and their limits. We can also construct higher Nash blowups by using the relative Hilbert scheme or the Grassmannian schemes of coherent sheaves. The last construction is essentially the same as a special case of Oneto and Zatini's Nash blowup associated to a coherent sheaf [OZ].

The problem that interests us is of course *whether varieties can be desingularized by higher Nash blowups*. Concerning this problem, we formulate a conjecture as follows:

Conjecture 0.1. *Suppose that k has characteristic zero. Let X be a variety of dimension d , $J^{(d-1)}$ the $(d-1)$ -th neighborhood of the Jacobian subscheme $J \subseteq X$ (that is, the closed subscheme defined by the d -th power of the Jacobian ideal sheaf). Let $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ with $Z \not\subseteq J^{(d-1)}$. Then $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is smooth at $[Z]$.*

For any closed subscheme $Y \subseteq X$ of dimension $< d$, there exists n_0 such that for every $n \geq n_0$ and for every $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$, $Z \not\subseteq Y$ (Proposition 2.8). Then the conjecture especially says that $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ are smooth for $n \gg 0$. If the conjecture is true, we obtain a canonical way to resolve singularities by *one step*.

Our first step toward proving the conjecture is a separation of analytic branches. Let $\hat{X} := \text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ be the completion of a variety X at $x \in X$, and $\hat{X}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, its irreducible components. Then we can define higher Nash blowups of $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{X}_i$, and obtain

$$\mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \times_X \hat{X} \cong \mathbf{Nash}_n(\hat{X}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathbf{Nash}_n(\hat{X}_i).$$

Let $\nu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the normalization. The *conductor ideal sheaf* is the annihilator ideal sheaf of the coherent sheaf $\nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} / \mathcal{O}_X$. The *conductor subscheme* $C \subseteq X$ is the closed subscheme defined by the conductor ideal sheaf.

Proposition 0.2 (=Proposition 2.6). *Let $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ with $Z \not\subseteq C$. Then Z is contained in a unique analytic branch of X .*

If $x \in X$ is the support of Z and $\hat{X}_i$ are as above, then the proposition says that $\mathbf{Nash}_n(\hat{X}_i)$ are disjoint around $[Z]$. Therefore the study of $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is reduced to that of $\mathbf{Nash}_n(\hat{X}_i)$.

We study the case of curves in more detail. Let R be a local complete Noetherian domain of dimension 1 with coefficient field k and $X := \text{Spec } R$. The integral closure of R is (isomorphic to) $k[[x]]$. Then we define a numerical monoid $S := \{i \mid \exists f \in R, \text{ord } f = i\}$. In characteristic 0, we can completely determine when $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is regular¹ in terms of S .

Theorem 0.3 (=Theorem 3.3). *Let X and S be as above. Suppose that k has characteristic zero. Then $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is regular if and only if $s_n - 1 \in S$.*

As a corollary, we prove the following, which implies Conjecture 0.1 in dimension 1.

Corollary 0.4 (=Corollary 3.7). *Let X be a variety of dimension 1 over k , C its conductor subscheme and $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$. Suppose that k has characteristic 0 and that $Z \not\subseteq C$. Then $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is smooth at $[Z]$.*

In contrast to the iteration of classical Nash blowups, each higher Nash blowup is directly constructed from the given variety. There is no direct relation between $\mathbf{Nash}_{n+1}(X)$ and $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$. In fact, from Theorem 0.3, we see that even if $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is regular, $\mathbf{Nash}_{n+1}(X)$ is not generally regular. So there is no birational morphism $\mathbf{Nash}_{n+1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ (See Example 3.5).

Remark 0.5. (1) There are few evidences for Conjecture 0.1 in higher dimension. It is, maybe, safer to replace $J^{(d-1)}$ with $J^{(a_d)}$, where a_d is a positive integer depending only on d . The conjecture is based on the idea that Artinian subschemes protruding much from the singular locus behave well. A similar idea for jets appears in the theory of motivic integration for singular varieties (see [DL]).

(2) The conjecture fails, if we replace $J^{(d-1)}$ with $J = J^{(0)}$: Let

$$X := (x^2 + y^2 + z^{n+1} = 0) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^3$$

be a surface with an A_n -singularity. Its Jacobian ideal is $(x, y, z^n) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2 + z^{n+1})$. Let $A \subseteq X$ be the subscheme defined by the Jacobian ideal, which is isomorphic to $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}[z]/(z^n)$.

¹Since $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is not of finite type over k , we use the term “regular” instead of “smooth”.

For any $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_1(X)$, $Z \cong \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[s, t]/(s, t)^2$, and $Z \not\subseteq A$. However the classical Nash blowup of X is not generally smooth (see [GS, §5.2]).

- (3) The conjecture fails also in positive characteristic at least in dimension 1. Let X be an analytically irreducible curve in characteristic $p > 0$. Then $\mathbf{Nash}_{p^e-1}(X) \cong X$ for $e \gg 0$ (Proposition 3.8). If k is of characteristic either 2 or 3, and if $X = \operatorname{Spec} k[[x^2, x^3]]$, then $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \cong X$ for every n (Proposition 3.9).

Nakamura's G -Hilbert scheme is also a kind of blowup constructed by using a Hilbert scheme of points. For an algebraic variety M with an effective action of a finite group G , its G -Hilbert scheme $G\text{-Hilb}(M)$ parameterizes the free orbits and their limits in the Hilbert scheme of points of M , and there exists a projective birational morphism $G\text{-Hilb}(M) \rightarrow M/G$. Replacing free orbits with their n -th infinitesimal neighborhoods, we can define a higher version of G -Hilbert scheme, although the author does not know whether it is interesting.

We can easily generalize the higher Nash blowup to generically smooth morphisms, that is, to the relative setting, and even more generally to foliations. The latter was actually what the author first thought of. In sum, the common idea is the following: Given a variety or a variety with some additional structure (such as a morphism or a foliation), the space of some objects uniquely associated to smooth points and their limits is, if well-defined as a variety, then a modification of the given variety. If the modification is a resolution of singularities, then it should be an advantage that the resulting variety is a moduli space of some objects on the given variety.

In Section 1, we give the definition of higher Nash blowup and several alternative constructions. In Section 2, we prove basic properties of higher Nash blowups. In the final section, we study the case of curves.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Shigefumi Mori, Shigeru Mukai, Hisanori Ohashi, Shunsuke Takagi, Masataka Tomari and Kei-ichi Watanabe for useful comments.

Conventions. We work in the category of schemes over an algebraically closed field k . A *point* means a k -point. A *variety* means an integral separated scheme of finite type over k . For a closed subscheme $Z \subseteq X$ defined by an ideal $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$, we denote by $Z^{(n)}$ its n -th *infinitesimal neighborhoods*, that is, the closed subscheme defined by $\mathcal{I}^{n+1}$. We denote by $\mathbb{N}$ the set $\{1, 2, \dots\}$ of positive integers and by $\mathbb{N}_0$ the set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ of non-negative integers.

1. DEFINITION AND SEVERAL CONSTRUCTIONS

1.1. Definition. Let X be a variety of dimension d , and $x \in X$ and $x^{(n)} := \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{X,x}/\mathfrak{m}_x^{n+1}$ its n -th infinitesimal neighborhood. If X is smooth at x , then $x^{(n)}$ is an Artinian subscheme of X of length $\binom{d+n}{n}$. Therefore it corresponds to a point

$$[x^{(n)}] \in \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(X),$$

where $\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(X)$ is the Hilbert scheme of $\binom{d+n}{n}$ points of X . If X_{sm} denotes the smooth locus of X , then we have a map

$$\sigma_n : X_{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(X), \quad x \mapsto [x^{(n)}].$$

Lemma 1.1. σ_n is a morphism of schemes.

Proof. Let $\Delta \subseteq X_{\text{sm}} \times_k X_{\text{sm}}$ be the diagonal. Consider a diagram of the projections restricted to its n -th infinitesimal neighborhood $\Delta^{(n)}$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta^{(n)} & \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_2} & X_{\text{sm}} \\ \text{pr}_1 \downarrow & & \\ X_{\text{sm}} & & . \end{array}$$

For $x \in X_{\text{sm}}$,

$$\text{pr}_2(\text{pr}_1^{-1}(x)) = x^{(n)}.$$

Therefore by the definition of Hilbert scheme, there exists a morphism

$$X_{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(X)$$

corresponding to the diagram above. It is identical to σ_n . $\square$

The graph $\Gamma_{\sigma_n} \subseteq X_{\text{sm}} \times_k \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(X)$ of σ_n is canonically isomorphic to X_{sm} .

Definition 1.2. We define the n -th Nash blowup of X , denoted $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$, to be the closure of Γ_{σ_n} with reduced scheme structure in $X \times_k \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(X)$.

The first projection restricted $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$,

$$\pi_n : \mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \rightarrow X,$$

is projective and birational. Moreover it is an isomorphism over X_{sm} .

Let $\mathbf{Nash}'_n(X)$ be the closure of $\sigma_n(X_{\text{sm}})$ in $\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(X)$. Then the second projection $X \times_k \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(X)$ induces a morphism

$$\psi_n : \mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nash}'_n(X).$$

This bijectively sends $(x, [Z])$ to $[Z]$. Thus $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is set-theoretically identified with $\mathbf{Nash}'_n(X)$, the set of the n -th infinitesimal neighborhoods of smooth points and their limits. Hereafter we abbreviate $(x, [Z]) \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ as $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$.

1.2. ψ_n is an isomorphism in char. 0. Let $S^m X$ denote the m -th symmetric product of X . The Hilbert-Chow morphism of [Fog] is a morphism

$$(\mathbf{Hilb}_m(X))_{\text{red}} \rightarrow S^m X$$

which assign a closed subscheme $Z \subseteq X$ the associated 0-cycle.

In characteristic zero, X is embedded into $S^m X$ as the small diagonal, $\{(x, \dots, x) | x \in X\} \subseteq S^m X$. (In positive characteristic, the diagonal morphism $X \rightarrow S^m X$ is not generally a closed embedding.) When $m = \binom{d+n}{n}$, the Hilbert-Chow morphism restricted to $\mathbf{Nash}'_n(X)$,

$$\pi'_n : \mathbf{Nash}'_n(X) \rightarrow X,$$

is a morphism onto X .

Proposition 1.3. *Suppose that k has characteristic 0. Then ψ_n is an isomorphism and $\pi_n = \pi'_n \circ \psi$.*

Proof. The graph $\Gamma_{\pi'_n} \subseteq X \times_k \mathbf{Nash}'_n(X)$ of π'_n is identical to $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$. Therefore ψ_n is an isomorphism. Now the equality $\pi_n = \pi'_n \circ \psi$ is obvious. $\square$

Remark 1.4. In positive characteristic, ψ_n is not generally an isomorphism. For instance, let $X := \text{Spec } k[x]$. Since X is smooth, ϕ_n is isomorphic to $\sigma_n : X \rightarrow \mathbf{Nash}'_n(X) \subseteq \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{n+d}{d}}(X)$. Suppose that k has characteristic $p > 0$ and that p divides $n + 1$. Then $\Delta^{(n)} \times_X \text{Spec } k[x]/(x^2)$ is a trivial embedded deformation over $\text{Spec } k[x]/(x^2)$. So the corresponding morphism $\text{Spec } k[x]/(x^2) \rightarrow X \rightarrow \mathbf{Nash}'_n(X)$ factors as $\text{Spec } k[x]/(x^2) \rightarrow \text{Spec } k \rightarrow \mathbf{Nash}'_n(X)$. It follows that ϕ_n is not an isomorphism.

1.3. Construction with the relative Hilbert scheme. We can construct higher Nash blowups also by using the *relative* Hilbert scheme. Let X be a variety and $\Delta^{(n)} \subseteq X \times_k X$ the n -th infinitesimal neighborhood of the diagonal. Then the restricted first projection

$$\text{pr}_1 : \Delta^{(n)} \rightarrow X$$

is a finite morphism. Its relative Hilbert scheme

$$\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(\text{pr}_1 : \Delta^{(n)} \rightarrow X)$$

for a constant Hilbert polynomial $\binom{d+n}{n}$ is a projective X -scheme. It is easy to see that

$$\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(\mathrm{pr}_1 : \Delta^{(n)} \rightarrow X) \times_X X_{\mathrm{sm}} \cong X_{\mathrm{sm}}.$$

Proposition 1.5. *The irreducible component of $\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(\mathrm{pr}_1 : \Delta^{(n)} \rightarrow X)$ dominating X is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$.*

Proof. A closed embedding $\Delta^{(n)} \hookrightarrow X \times_k X$ induces a closed embedding

$$\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{n+d}{d}}(\mathrm{pr}_1 : \Delta^{(n)} \rightarrow X) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{n+d}{d}}(\mathrm{pr}_1 : X \times_k X \rightarrow X).$$

We also have a closed embedding

$$\mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \hookrightarrow X \times_k \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{n+d}{d}}(X) = \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{n+d}{d}}(\mathrm{pr}_1 : X \times_k X \rightarrow X).$$

Then $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ and the irreducible component of $\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{n+d}{d}}(\mathrm{pr}_1 : \Delta^{(n)} \rightarrow X)$ dominating X determines the same closed subscheme of $\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{n+d}{d}}(\mathrm{pr}_1 : X \times_k X \rightarrow X)$. This proves the assertion. $\square$

Corollary 1.6. *Let $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ such that the support of Z is x , (that is $\pi_n([Z]) = x$). Then $Z \subseteq x^{(n)}$.*

Proof. The subscheme $Z \subseteq X$ is contained in the fiber of $\mathrm{pr}_1 : \Delta^{(n)} \rightarrow X$ over x , which is exactly $x^{(n)}$. $\square$

1.4. The Nash blowup associated to a coherent sheaf. Let X be a reduced Noetherian scheme, $\mathcal{M}$ a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module locally free of constant rank r on an open dense subscheme $U \subseteq X$, and $\mathbf{Grass}_r(\mathcal{M})$ the Grassmannian of $\mathcal{M}$ of rank r , which is a projective X -scheme. Then the fiber product $\mathbf{Grass}_r(\mathcal{M}) \times_X U$ is isomorphic to U by the projection.

Definition 1.7. The closure of $\mathbf{Grass}_r(\mathcal{M}) \times_X U$ is called the *Nash blowup of X associated to $\mathcal{M}$* and denoted $\mathbf{Nash}(X, \mathcal{M})$ (see [OZ]).

Then the natural morphism $\pi_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathbf{Nash}(X, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow X$ is projective and birational. When X is a variety and $\mathcal{M} = \Omega_{X/k}$, then $\mathbf{Nash}(X, \Omega_{X/k})$ is the classical Nash blowup of X .

If $\mathrm{tors} \subseteq \pi_{\mathcal{M}}^* \mathcal{M}$ denotes the torsion part, then by definition, $(\pi_{\mathcal{M}}^* \mathcal{M})/\mathrm{tors}$ is locally free. Moreover $\mathbf{Nash}(X, \mathcal{M})$ has the following universal property: If $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a modification with $(f^* \mathcal{M})/\mathrm{tors}$ locally free, then there exists a unique morphism $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Nash}(X, \mathcal{M})$ with $\pi_{\mathcal{M}} \circ g = f$.

Let $\mathcal{I}_{\Delta} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k X}$ be the ideal sheaf defining the diagonal $\Delta \subseteq X \times_k X$. Put $\mathcal{P}_X^n := \mathcal{O}_{X \times_k X} / \mathcal{I}_{\Delta}^{n+1}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{X,+}^n := \mathcal{I}_{\Delta} / \mathcal{I}_{\Delta}^{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The $\mathcal{P}_X^n$ is the structure sheaf of $\Delta^{(n)}$ and called the *sheaf of principal parts*

of order n of X (see [Gro, Def. 16.3.1]). We regard $\mathcal{P}_X^n$ and $\mathcal{P}_{X,+}^n$ as $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules through the first projection. When X is a variety, these are coherent sheaves.

Proposition 1.8. *For every variety X and every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we have canonical isomorphisms,*

$$\mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \cong \mathbf{Nash}(X, \mathcal{P}_X^n) \cong \mathbf{Nash}(X, \mathcal{P}_{X,+}^n).$$

In particular, $\mathbf{Nash}_1(X)$ is canonically isomorphic to the classical Nash blowup of X .

Proof. Because of the universal property, if $\mathcal{N}$ is locally free, then we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathbf{Nash}(X, \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}) \cong \mathbf{Nash}(X, \mathcal{M})$. In particular, since $\mathcal{P}_X^n \cong \mathcal{O}_X \oplus \mathcal{P}_{X,+}^n$, we have $\mathbf{Nash}(X, \mathcal{P}_X^n) \cong \mathbf{Nash}(X, \mathcal{P}_{X,+}^n)$.

The moduli schemes $\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(\mathrm{pr}_1 : \Delta^{(n)} \rightarrow X)$ and $\mathbf{Grass}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(\mathcal{P}_X^n)$ represent equivalent functors. Hence they are canonically isomorphic. It follows that $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \cong \mathbf{Nash}(X, \mathcal{P}_X^n)$. $\square$

Corollary 1.9. *Let X be a variety of dimension d , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and $r := \binom{n+d}{d}$.*

- (1) $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \cong \mathbf{Nash}(X, \bigwedge^r \mathcal{P}_X^n)$.
- (2) *Let $\mathcal{K}(X)$ be the constant sheaf of rational functions. Fix an isomorphism $\bigwedge^r \mathcal{P}_X^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ and define a homomorphism*

$$\psi : \bigwedge^r \mathcal{P}_X^n \rightarrow \bigwedge^r \mathcal{P}_X^n \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{K}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X).$$

Then $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is isomorphic to the blowup of X with respect to a fractional ideal $\psi(\bigwedge^r \mathcal{P}_X^n)$.

Proof. These are results due to Oneto and Zatini [OZ] restricted to the case where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}_X^n$. $\square$

1.5. Formal completion. For a complete local Noetherian ring S with coefficient field k , the module $\Omega_{S/k}$ of Kähler differentials is not generally finitely generated over S , while its completion $\hat{\Omega}_{S/k}$ is. The latter is usually the suitable one to handle. We show those similar facts on its higher version $\hat{P}_S^n$ that are required in applications to higher Nash blowups.

Let $k[\mathbf{x}] := k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ be a polynomial ring with r variables and $R = k[\mathbf{x}]/\mathfrak{a}$ its quotient ring. We define an ideal I_R of $R \otimes_k R$,

$$I_R := (x_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_i; i = 1, \dots, r)(R \otimes_k R).$$

Then we put

$$P_R^n := R \otimes_k R / I_R^{n+1}$$

and regard it as an R -module via the map

$$R \rightarrow R \otimes_k R, \quad a \mapsto a \otimes 1.$$

Then the module is finitely generated over R . If $X := \operatorname{Spec} R$, then the $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\mathcal{P}_X^n$ defined above is identified with the sheaf $\widetilde{P_R^n}$ associated to the R -module P_R^n .

Let $k[[\mathbf{x}]] := k[[x_1, \dots, x_r]]$ be a formal power series ring with r variables and $S := k[[\mathbf{x}]]/\mathfrak{b}$ its quotient ring. Similarly we define an ideal $\hat{I}_S$ of $S \hat{\otimes}_k S$,

$$\hat{I}_S := (x_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_i; i = 1, \dots, r)(S \hat{\otimes}_k S).$$

Then we put

$$\hat{P}_S^n := S \hat{\otimes}_k S / \hat{I}_S^{n+1}$$

and regard it as an S -module via the map

$$S \rightarrow S \hat{\otimes}_k S, \quad a \mapsto a \otimes 1.$$

Then the module is finitely generated over S . For a scheme $Y = \operatorname{Spec} S$, we define a coherent $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_Y^n$ to be the sheaf $\widetilde{\hat{P}_S^n}$ associated to $\hat{P}_S^n$.

Definition 1.10. Suppose that Y is reduced and of pure dimension d , and that $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_Y^n$ is locally free of constant rank $\binom{n+d}{d}$ on an open dense subset of Y . Then we define the n -th Nash blowup of Y , denoted $\mathbf{Nash}_n(Y)$, to be $\mathbf{Nash}(Y, \hat{\mathcal{P}}_Y^n)$.

Let $\hat{\Delta}_Y^{(n)} := \operatorname{Spec} \hat{P}_S^n$. Then $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is identified with the union of the irreducible components of $\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{d}}(\operatorname{pr}_1 : \hat{\Delta}_Y^{(n)} \rightarrow Y)$ that dominate irreducible components of Y .

The condition that $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_Y^n$ is locally free of constant rank $\binom{n+d}{d}$ on an open dense subset is probably superfluous. From the following lemma, when Y is the completion of a variety at a point or its irreducible component, the condition is, in fact, satisfied.

Lemma 1.11. *Let $R = k[\mathbf{x}]/\mathfrak{a}$ and $\hat{R} := k[[\mathbf{x}]]/\mathfrak{a}k[[\mathbf{x}]]$. Then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\hat{P}_R^n \cong P_R^n \otimes_R \hat{R}.$$

Proof. Let us view $k[\mathbf{x}] \otimes_k k[\mathbf{x}]$ (resp. $k[[\mathbf{x}]] \hat{\otimes}_k k[[\mathbf{x}]]$) as a $k[\mathbf{x}]$ -algebra (resp. a $k[[\mathbf{x}]]$ -algebra) by the map $x \mapsto x \otimes 1$. We have an isomorphism of $k[\mathbf{x}]$ -algebras,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : k[\mathbf{x}] \otimes_k k[\mathbf{x}] &\rightarrow k[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] := k[\mathbf{x}, y_1, \dots, y_r] \\ 1 \otimes x_i &\mapsto x_i - y_i \end{aligned}$$

and an isomorphism of $k[[\mathbf{x}]]$ -algebras,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi} : k[[\mathbf{x}]] \hat{\otimes}_k k[[\mathbf{x}]] &\rightarrow k[[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]] := k[[\mathbf{x}, y_1, \dots, y_r]] \\ 1 \otimes x_i &\mapsto x_i - y_i.\end{aligned}$$

Then $R \otimes_k R \cong k[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] / \phi(\mathfrak{a} \otimes_k k[\mathbf{x}] + k[\mathbf{x}] \otimes_k \mathfrak{a})$ and

$$P_R^n \cong k[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] / (\phi(\mathfrak{a} \otimes_k k[\mathbf{x}] + k[\mathbf{x}] \otimes_k \mathfrak{a}) + (y_1, \dots, y_r)^{n+1}).$$

Similarly, if $\hat{\mathfrak{a}}$ denotes $\mathfrak{a}k[[\mathbf{x}]]$, then

$$\hat{P}_R^n \cong k[[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]] / (\hat{\phi}(\hat{\mathfrak{a}} \hat{\otimes}_k k[[\mathbf{x}]] + k[[\mathbf{x}]] \hat{\otimes}_k \hat{\mathfrak{a}}) + (y_1, \dots, y_r)^{n+1}).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R} \otimes_R P_R^n &\cong k[[\mathbf{x}]][\mathbf{y}] / (\phi(\mathfrak{a} \otimes_k k[\mathbf{x}] + k[\mathbf{x}] \otimes_k \mathfrak{a}) + (y_1, \dots, y_r)^{n+1}) \\ &\cong k[[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]] / (\hat{\phi}(\hat{\mathfrak{a}} \hat{\otimes}_k k[[\mathbf{x}]] + k[[\mathbf{x}]] \hat{\otimes}_k \hat{\mathfrak{a}}) + (y_1, \dots, y_r)^{n+1}) \\ &\cong \hat{P}_R^n.\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 1.12. *Let X be a variety, $x \in X$ and $\hat{X} := \operatorname{Spec} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$. Then there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{Nash}_n(\hat{X}) \cong \mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \times_X \hat{X}.$$

Proof. Let $f : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ be a natural morphism. From Lemma 1.11, $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\hat{X}}^n \cong f^* \mathcal{P}_X^n$, which implies the corollary. □

2. GENERAL PROPERTIES

2.1. Compatibility with etale morphisms.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $Y \rightarrow X$ be an etale morphism of varieties. Then for every n , there exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{Nash}_n(Y) \cong \mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \times_X Y.$$

Proof. Let Δ_X and Δ_Y be the diagonals in $X \times_k X$ and $Y \times_k Y$ respectively. Then the natural morphism

$$\Delta_Y^{(n)} \rightarrow \Delta_X^{(n)} \times_X Y$$

is an isomorphism. This induces an isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(\operatorname{pr}_1 : \Delta_Y^{(n)} \rightarrow Y) \cong \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(\operatorname{pr}_1 : \Delta_X^{(n)} \rightarrow X) \times_X Y$$

and the isomorphism of the assertion. □

2.2. Group actions. Let X be a variety of dimension d , G an algebraic group over k acting on X . For each $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a natural action of G on $X \times_k \mathbf{Hilb}_l(X)$,

$$\begin{aligned} G \times_k X \times_k \mathbf{Hilb}_l(X) &\rightarrow X \times_k \mathbf{Hilb}_l(X) \\ (g, x, [Z]) &\mapsto (gx, [gZ]). \end{aligned}$$

When $l = \binom{d+n}{n}$, the subscheme $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \subseteq X \times_k \mathbf{Hilb}_{\binom{d+n}{n}}(X)$ is stable under this action. Thus the G -action on X naturally lifts to $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ and the morphism $\pi_n : \mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \rightarrow X$ is G -equivariant.

2.3. Conductor and Jacobian ideals. We now recall the conductor and Jacobian ideals, and their relation. The conductor ideal plays an important role in what follows, while the Jacobian ideal appears in Conjecture 0.1.

Let R be either a finitely generated k -algebra or a local complete Noetherian ring with coefficient field k . Suppose that R is reduced and of pure dimension d . Let $\tilde{R}$ be the integral closure of R in the total ring of fractions.

Definition 2.2. The *conductor ideal* of R , denoted $\mathfrak{c}_R$, is the annihilator of an R -module $\tilde{R}/R$.

The conductor ideal is characterized as the largest ideal of R that is also an ideal of $\tilde{R}$.

Definition 2.3. When R is a finitely generated k -algebra (resp. a complete local Noetherian ring with coefficient field k), then the *Jacobian ideal* of R , denoted $\mathfrak{j}_R$, is the d -th Fitting ideal of the module of Kähler differentials $\Omega_{R/k}$ (resp. the complete module of Kähler differentials $\hat{\Omega}_{R/k}$).

If R is represented as

$$R = k[x_1, \dots, x_m]/(f_1, \dots, f_r) \text{ or } R = k[[x_1, \dots, x_m]]/(f_1, \dots, f_r),$$

then $\mathfrak{j}_R$ is generated by the $(m-d) \times (m-d)$ -minors of the Jacobian matrix $(\partial f_i / \partial x_j)_{i,j}$.

The conductor and Jacobian ideals commute with localizations. Therefore they define ideal sheaves on varieties. More directly, if X is a variety of dimension d and $\nu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the normalization, then the *conductor ideal sheaf* $\mathfrak{c}_X \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ is defined to be the annihilator ideal sheaf of a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module $\nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} / \mathcal{O}_X$. The *Jacobian ideal sheaf* $\mathfrak{j}_X \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ is defined to be the d -th Fitting ideal sheaf of the sheaf of Kähler differentials $\Omega_{X/k}$. We call the closed subscheme $C_X \subseteq X$ defined by $\mathfrak{c}_X$ the *conductor subscheme* and the closed subscheme $J_X \subseteq X$ defined

by j_X the *Jacobian subscheme*. Similarly, when $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ with R a complete local Noetherian ring with coefficient field k , then the conductor subscheme $C_X \subseteq X$ and the Jacobian subscheme $J_X \subseteq X$ are defined to be the subschemes defined by $\mathfrak{c}_R$ and j_R respectively.

The conductor and Jacobian ideals commute also with completion: Let R be a finitely generated k -algebra, $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$ is a maximal ideal, and $\hat{R}$ the $\mathfrak{m}$ -adic completion of R . Then $j_{\hat{R}} = j_R \hat{R}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{\hat{R}} = \mathfrak{c}_R \hat{R}$.

The relation of the conductor and Jacobian ideals is as follows:

Theorem 2.4. *Let R be either a finitely generated k -algebra or a local complete Noetherian ring with coefficient field k . Suppose that R is reduced and of pure dimension d . Then $j_R \subseteq \mathfrak{c}_R$.*

Proof. We prove only the case where R is a finitely generated k -algebra. The proof of the other case is parallel.

From the Noether normalization theorem, there exists a k -homomorphism $\phi : k[x_1, \dots, x_d] \rightarrow R$ which makes R generically étale over $k[x_1, \dots, x_d]$. We can represent R as

$$R = k[x_1, \dots, x_d][x_{d+1}, \dots, x_m]/(f_1, \dots, f_r) = k[x_1, \dots, x_m]/(f_1, \dots, f_r).$$

Then Lipman-Sathaye theorem [LS, Th. 2] implies that every $(m-d) \times (m-d)$ -minor of the matrix $(\partial f_i / \partial x_j)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ d+1 \leq j \leq m}}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{c}_R$. (For the case where R is not a domain, see [Hoc, Th. 3.1].)

For a suitable choice of variables $x_1, \dots, x_m$ and for every subset $\{j_1, \dots, j_d\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ of d elements, R is generically étale over $k[x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_d}]$. Then $\mathfrak{c}_R$ contains every $(m-d) \times (m-d)$ -minor of the matrix $(\partial f_i / \partial x_j)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}}$. Namely $j_R \subseteq \mathfrak{c}_R$. $\square$

The following proposition is required in the following subsection:

Proposition 2.5. *Let R be as above, $X := \operatorname{Spec} R$, and $X_1, \dots, X_l$ be the irreducible components of X .*

- (1) *For $1 \leq l' \leq l$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, if we put $X' := X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_{l'}$, we have*

$$C_{X'} \subseteq C_X \cap X' \text{ and } J_{X'}^{(n)} \subseteq J_X^{(n)} \cap X'.$$

Here $\subseteq, \cap, \cup$ are all scheme-theoretic.

- (2) *The following inclusions hold*

$$J_X \supseteq C_X \supseteq X_1 \cap X_2.$$

Proof. 1. The first inclusion follows from the inclusion

$$\widetilde{X'} \subseteq \tilde{X} \times_X X'.$$

To show the second one, it suffices to show $J_{X'} \subseteq J_X \cap X'$. If R is finitely generated over k and represented as

$$R = k[x_1, \dots, x_m]/(f_1, \dots, f_r),$$

and if

$$R' = k[x_1, \dots, x_m]/(f_1, \dots, f_r, f_{r+1}, \dots, f_{r'})$$

is the coefficient ring of X' , then $\mathfrak{j}_R R'$ is generated by the $(m-d) \times (m-d)$ -minors of $(\partial f_i / \partial x_j)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}}$, while $\mathfrak{j}_{R'}$ generated by the $(m-d) \times (m-d)$ -minors of $(\partial f_i / \partial x_j)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq r' \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}}$. This shows the second inclusion of the assertion in this case. The formal complete case is parallel.

2. The inclusion $J_X \supseteq C_X$ is equivalent to Theorem 2.4. Concerning the other inclusion, from 1, we may suppose that X_1 and X_2 are the only irreducible components of X . Let $R_i = R/I_i$, $i = 1, 2$, be the coefficient rings of X_1 and X_2 respectively. Since $R \subseteq R_1 \times R_2 \subseteq \tilde{R}$, we have

$$\mathfrak{c}_R \subseteq \text{ann}(R_1 \times R_2 / R) = I_1 + I_2.$$

This prove the assertion. $\square$

2.4. Separation of analytic branches. Let X be a variety of dimension $d > 0$, $\hat{X} := \text{Spec } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ the completion of X at a point $x \in X$, and $\hat{X}_i$, $i = 1, \dots, l$ its irreducible components. Then we have

$$\mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \times_X \hat{X} \cong \mathbf{Nash}_n(\hat{X}) \cong \bigcup_{i=1}^l \mathbf{Nash}_n(\hat{X}_i).$$

Let $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ with $\pi_n([Z]) = x$. Then we can regard $[Z]$ also as a $(k-)$ point of $\mathbf{Nash}_n(\hat{X})$ and of $\mathbf{Nash}_n(\hat{X}_{i_0})$ for some $0 \leq i_0 \leq l$. Then Z is a closed subscheme of $\hat{X}_{i_0}$. Moreover, from Corollary 1.6, $Z \subseteq \hat{X}_{i_0} \cap x^{(n)}$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ with support x and $Z \not\subseteq C_X$. Then Z is contained in a unique analytic branch $\hat{X}_i$. Equivalently $[Z]$ is contained in $\mathbf{Nash}_n(\hat{X}_i)$ for a unique i .*

Proof. From Proposition 2.5, Z can not be contained simultaneously in two irreducible components. This proves the proposition. $\square$

Let X, x, Z be as above. If $Z \not\subseteq J_X^{(d-1)}$, then from Theorem 2.4, $Z \not\subseteq C_X$ and so $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(\hat{X}_i)$ for a unique i , say i_0 . Moreover from Proposition 2.5, $Z \not\subseteq J_{\hat{X}_{i_0}}^{(d-1)}$. As a consequence, Conjecture 0.1 is reduced to the following conjecture:

Conjecture 2.7. *Let R be a local complete Noetherian domain with coefficient field k and $X := \operatorname{Spec} R$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the n -th Nash blowup $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is well-defined even if X is not algebraizable. Moreover if $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ with $Z \not\subseteq J_X^{(d-1)}$, then $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is regular at $[Z]$.*

The common idea in Proposition 2.6 and Conjecture 0.1 is that if Z is too fat to be contained in some subscheme of X like C_X or $J_X^{(d-1)}$, then X have mild singularities. The following lemma assures that a condition like $Z \not\subseteq C_X$ or $Z \not\subseteq J_X^{(d-1)}$ holds for all $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ if n is sufficiently large, and that $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ has mild singularities everywhere.

Proposition 2.8. *Let X be a variety of dimension d and $A \subseteq X$ a closed subscheme of dimension $< d$. Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that for every $n \geq n_0$ and for every $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$, $Z \not\subseteq A$.*

Proof. Since A is of dimension $< d$, for every $a \in A$, the Hilbert function of $\mathcal{O}_{A,a}$ is a polynomial of degree $< d$ for $n \gg 0$. It follows that for $n \gg 0$,

$$\operatorname{length} \mathcal{O}_{A,a} / \mathfrak{m}_{A,a}^{n+1} < \binom{n+d}{d}.$$

Because of the semi-continuity of Hilbert functions proved by Bennett [Ben], for $n \gg 0$, the inequality holds simultaneously for all $a \in A$.

Let $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ and a its support. From Corollary 1.6, $Z \subseteq a^{(n)}$. Since $\operatorname{length} \mathcal{O}_Z = \binom{n+d}{d}$, if the inequality holds, then $Z \not\subseteq A \cap a^{(n)}$ and hence $Z \not\subseteq A$. $\square$

3. HIGHER NASH BLOWUPS OF CURVES

3.1. A deformation-theoretic criterion for the regularity. Let $R \subseteq k[[x]]$ be a complete k -subalgebra such that $k[[x]]$ is a finite R -module, $X := \operatorname{Spec} R$ and $\nu : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ its normalization. Since X is algebraizable, we can define higher Nash blowups of X . To make computations below simpler, we fix the identification

$$\tilde{X} = \operatorname{Spec} k[[y]]$$

such that the ring homomorphism $\nu^* : R \rightarrow k[[y]]$ is the composite of the inclusion $R \hookrightarrow k[[x]]$ and the map $k[[x]] \rightarrow k[[y]]$, $x \mapsto -y$. Then the complete fiber product of X and $\tilde{X}$ is represented as

$$X \hat{\times}_k \tilde{X} := \operatorname{Spec} R \hat{\otimes}_k k[[y]] = \operatorname{Spec} R[[y]].$$

The graph $\Gamma_\nu \subseteq X \hat{\times}_k \tilde{X}$ of ν is generically defined by $(x+y)$. In precise, if $I \subseteq R[[y]]$ is the defining ideal of Γ_ν , then

$$IR[[y]]_I = (x+y).$$

Here $R[[y]]_I$ is the localization of $R[[y]]$ with respect to the prime ideal I . Let $\mathcal{Z}_n \subseteq X \hat{\times}_k \tilde{X}$ be the closed subscheme defined by the $(n+1)$ -th symbolic power of I ,

$$I^{(n+1)} := R[[y]] \cap I^{n+1}R[[y]]_I.$$

Since the projection

$$q_n : \mathcal{Z}_n \rightarrow X$$

is flat, we obtain a corresponding birational morphism

$$\phi_n : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$$

such that $\pi_n \circ \phi_n = \nu$.

Let $o \in \tilde{X}$ be the closed point and $Z_n := q_n^{-1}(o) \subseteq X$, the subscheme corresponding to $\phi_n(o) \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$. Consider a natural morphism

$$\epsilon : \operatorname{Spec} k[y]/(y^2) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k[[y]] = \tilde{X},$$

which is a nonzero tangent vector of $\tilde{X}$ at o . The fiber product

$$\mathcal{Z}_{n,\epsilon} := \mathcal{Z}_n \times_{q_n, \tilde{X}, \epsilon} \operatorname{Spec} k[y]/(y^2) \subseteq X \times_k \operatorname{Spec} k[y]/(y^2)$$

is the first order embedded deformation of $Z_n \subseteq X$ corresponding to

$$\phi_n \circ \epsilon : \operatorname{Spec} k[y]/(y^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{Nash}_n(X).$$

Let $\mathfrak{a}_n \subseteq R$ be the defining ideal of Z_n , which is identical to $I^{(n+1)}$ modulo (y) .

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that X is not regular. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is regular.
- (2) ϕ_n is an isomorphism.
- (3) $\mathcal{Z}_{n,\epsilon}$ is not the trivial embedded deformation of Z_n .
- (4) There exists an element $g \in I^{(n+1)} \subseteq R[[y]]$ such that if we write

$$g = g_0 + g_1y + g_2y^2 + \cdots, \quad g_i \in R,$$

then $g_1 \notin \mathfrak{a}_n$.

Proof. 1 $\Leftrightarrow$ 2. Obvious.

2 $\Leftrightarrow$ 3. The morphism $\phi_n \circ \epsilon$ corresponding to the pair $(\nu \circ \epsilon, \mathcal{Z}_{n,\epsilon})$. From the assumption, $\nu \circ \epsilon$ is the zero tangent vector, that is, factors as $\operatorname{Spec} k[y]/(y^2) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow X$. Hence, $\phi_n \circ \epsilon$ is the zero tangent vector if and only if $\mathcal{Z}_{n,\epsilon}$ is trivial. This shows the equivalence 2 $\Leftrightarrow$ 3.

3 $\Leftrightarrow$ 4. If the defining ideal of $\mathcal{Z}_{n,\epsilon}$ in $R[y]/(y^2)$ is generated by

$$g_{j0} + g_{j1}y, \quad g_{j0}, g_{j1} \in R, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

then $\mathcal{Z}_{n,\epsilon}$ corresponds to the homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{a}_n \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{a}_n, \quad g_{j0} \mapsto g_{j1}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{Z}_{n,\epsilon}$ being trivial is equivalent to that the homomorphism is the zero map. Hence 3 $\Leftrightarrow$ 4. $\square$

Remark 3.2. In the theorem above, the assumption that X is not regular is unavoidable. For example, in characteristic $p > 0$, if X is regular, then $\mathcal{Z}_{pm-1,\epsilon}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, are trivial.

3.2. Associated numerical monoids. A *numerical monoid* is by definition a submonoid S of the (additive) monoid $\mathbb{N}_0$ with $\sharp(\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S) < \infty$.

To $R \subseteq k[[x]]$ as above, we associate a numerical monoid

$$S := \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \exists f \in R, \text{ ord } f = i\} = \{0 = s_{-1} < s_0 < s_1 < \dots\}.$$

Theorem 3.3. *Let $X := \text{Spec } R$. Suppose that k has characteristic 0. Then $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is regular if and only if $s_n - 1 \in S$.*

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathbf{a} := \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_e\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and define a $(e \times e)$ -matrix*

$$M(n; \mathbf{a}) := \begin{pmatrix} \binom{n}{a_1} & \binom{n}{a_1-1} & \cdots & \binom{n}{a_1-e+1} \\ \binom{n}{a_2} & \binom{n}{a_2-1} & \cdots & \binom{n}{a_2-e+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \binom{n}{a_e} & \binom{n}{a_e-1} & \cdots & \binom{n}{a_e-e+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

with entries in an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Here $\binom{a}{b} := 0$ if either $b > a$ or $b < 0$. Then

$$\det M(n; \mathbf{a}) = \frac{\prod_{i < j} (a_j - a_i) \prod_{i=1}^e ((n+e-i)(n+e-i-1) \cdots (n+e-a_i))}{\prod_{i=1}^e a_i!}.$$

In particular, if $n+e-a_e > 0$, then $\det M(n; \mathbf{a}) \neq 0$, and the matrix $M(n; \mathbf{a})$ is regular.

Proof. This matrix appears also in [ACGH, page 353].

Without changing the determinant, we can replace the first column with the sum of the first and the second, and the second with the sum of the second and the third, and so on. The resulting matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \binom{n+1}{a_1} & \binom{n+1}{a_1-1} & \cdots & \binom{n+1}{a_1-e+2} & \binom{n}{a_1-e+1} \\ \binom{n+1}{a_2} & \binom{n+1}{a_2-1} & \cdots & \binom{n+1}{a_2-e+2} & \binom{n}{a_2-e+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{n+1}{a_e} & \binom{n+1}{a_e-1} & \cdots & \binom{n+1}{a_e-e+2} & \binom{n}{a_e-e+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again we replace the first column with the second and the third, and so on. We obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \binom{n+2}{a_1} & \binom{n+2}{a_1-1} & \cdots & \binom{n+2}{a_1-e+3} & \binom{n+1}{a_1-e+2} & \binom{n}{a_1-e+1} \\ \binom{n+2}{a_2} & \binom{n+2}{a_2-1} & \cdots & \binom{n+2}{a_2-e+3} & \binom{n+1}{a_2-e+2} & \binom{n}{a_2-e+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{n+2}{a_e} & \binom{n+2}{a_e-1} & \cdots & \binom{n+2}{a_e-e+3} & \binom{n+1}{a_e-e+2} & \binom{n}{a_e-e+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Repeating this, we finally arrive at

$$\begin{pmatrix} \binom{n+e-1}{a_1} & \binom{n+e-2}{a_1-1} & \cdots & \binom{n+1}{a_1-e+2} & \binom{n}{a_1-e+1} \\ \binom{n+e-1}{a_2} & \binom{n+e-2}{a_2-1} & \cdots & \binom{n+1}{a_2-e+2} & \binom{n}{a_2-e+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{n+e-1}{a_e} & \binom{n+e-2}{a_e-1} & \cdots & \binom{n+1}{a_e-e+2} & \binom{n}{a_e-e+1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Check that this transformation makes sense even if the matrix $M(n; \mathbf{a})$ contains zero entries.) Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \det M(n; \mathbf{a}) \\ &= \det \begin{pmatrix} \binom{n+e-1}{a_1} & \binom{n+e-2}{a_1-1} & \cdots & \binom{n+1}{a_1-e+2} & \binom{n}{a_1-e+1} \\ \binom{n+e-1}{a_2} & \binom{n+e-2}{a_2-1} & \cdots & \binom{n+1}{a_2-e+2} & \binom{n}{a_2-e+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \binom{n+e-1}{a_e} & \binom{n+e-2}{a_e-1} & \cdots & \binom{n+1}{a_e-e+2} & \binom{n}{a_e-e+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^e ((n+e-i)(n+e-i-1) \cdots (n+e-a_i)) \times \\ & \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} (a_1!)^{-1} & ((a_1-1)!)^{-1} & \cdots & ((a_1-e+1)!)^{-1} \\ (a_2!)^{-1} & ((a_2-1)!)^{-1} & \cdots & ((a_2-e+1)!)^{-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (a_e!)^{-1} & ((a_e-1)!)^{-1} & \cdots & ((a_e-e+1)!)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^e ((n+e-i)(n+e-i-1) \cdots (n+e-a_i))}{\prod_{i=1}^e a_i!} \times \\ & \quad \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_1(a_1-1) & \cdots & a_1(a_1-1) \cdots (a_1-e+2) \\ 1 & a_2 & a_2(a_2-1) & \cdots & a_2(a_2-1) \cdots (a_2-e+2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & a_e & a_e(a_e-1) & \cdots & a_e(a_e-1) \cdots (a_e-e+2) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^e ((n+e-i)(n+e-i-1) \cdots (n+e-a_i))}{\prod_{i=1}^e a_i!} \times \\
&\quad \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 & a_1^2 & \cdots & a_1^{e-1} \\ 1 & a_2 & a_2^2 & \cdots & a_2^{e-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & a_e & a_e^2 & \cdots & a_e^{e-1} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^e ((n+e-i)(n+e-i-1) \cdots (n+e-a_i))}{\prod_{i=1}^e a_i!} \prod_{i < j} (a_j - a_i). \\
&\quad \text{(Vandermonde's determinant)}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Put $T := \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus S = \{t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_l\}$. Let $\mathbf{t}_{n,0} := \{t \in T \mid t < s_n\} = \{t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_{l_n}\}$, where $l_n := \#\mathbf{t}_{n,0}$, and $\mathbf{u}_{n,0} := \mathbf{t}_{n,0} \cup \{s_n\}$. Then $s_n = l_n + n + 1$. From Lemma 3.4, the matrix $M(n+1; \mathbf{u}_{n,0})$ is regular. We define $r_{n,i} \in k$, $i = 1, \dots, l_n$, by the equation

$$M(n+1; \mathbf{u}_{n,0}) \begin{pmatrix} r_{n,0} \\ \vdots \\ r_{n,l_n-1} \\ r_{n,l_n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we define a homogeneous polynomial of degree s_n ,

$$f_{n,0} := (r_{n,0}y^{l_n} + r_{n,1}xy^{l_n-1} + \cdots + r_{n,l_n-1}x^{l_n-1}y + r_{n,l_n}x^{l_n})(x+y)^{n+1} \in k[x, y].$$

For $1 \leq i \leq l_n$, the coefficient of $x^{t_i}y^{s_n-t_i}$ in f_n is

$$r_{n,0} \binom{n+1}{t_i} + r_{n,1} \binom{n+1}{t_i-1} + \cdots + r_{n,l_n} \binom{n+1}{t_i-l_n} = 0$$

and the coefficient of $x^{s_n} = x^{n+l_n+1}$ is 1.

For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we put $\mathbf{t}_{n,j} := \{t \in T \mid t \leq s_n + j\}$. If $m_{n,j} := l_n + j - \#\mathbf{t}_{n,j} \geq 0$, then we put $\mathbf{s}_{n,j} := \{s_0 < s_1 < \cdots < s_{m_{n,j}}\}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{n,j} := \mathbf{t}_{n,j} \cup \mathbf{s}_{n,j}$. Then $\#\mathbf{u}_{n,j} = l_n + j + 1$. Since

$$(n+1) + \#\mathbf{u}_{n,j} - \max \mathbf{u}_{n,j} \geq (n+1) + l_n + j + 1 - (s_n + j) = 1,$$

from Lemma 3.4, $M(n+1, \mathbf{u}_{n,j})$ is regular. Therefore from the same argument as above, for every $(d_i; i \in \mathbf{u}_{n,j}) \in k^{\mathbf{u}_{n,j}}$, there exists a unique homogeneous polynomial $g \in k[[x, y]]$ such that

- (1) g has degree $(l+j) + (n+1) = s_n + j$,
- (2) g is divided by $(x+y)^{n+1}$, and
- (3) for each $i \in \mathbf{u}_{n,j}$, the coefficient of the term $x^i y^{s_n+j-i}$ is d_i .

Now we inductively choose homogeneous polynomials $f_{n,j}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, of degree $s_n + j$ divisible by $(x + y)^{n+1}$ as follows: For each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we can take an element

$$h_i = \sum_{j \geq 0} h_{i,j} x^{i+j} \in R, \quad h_{i,j} \in k$$

such that

- (1) $h_0 = 1$,
- (2) for $i \in S$, $h_{i,0} = 1$,
- (3) for $i \in T$, $h_i = 0$, and
- (4) if $j > 0$ and $i + j \in S$, then $h_{i,j} = 0$. (In particular, if $i > t_l$ and $j > 0$, then $h_{i,j} = 0$.)

Suppose that we have chosen $f_{n,0}, f_{n,1}, \dots, f_{n,j-1}$. Let $c_{i,j'}$, $i \leq s_n + j'$, $0 \leq j' < j$, be the coefficient of $x^i y^{s_n+j'-i}$ in $f_{n,j'}$. By convention, we put $c_{i,j'} := 0$ for $i < 0$ or for $j' < 0$. For $i \in \mathbf{s}_{n,j}$, put $c_{i,j} := 0$. For $i \in \mathbf{t}_{n,j}$, put

$$c_{i,j} := \sum_{a=1}^j c_{i-a,j-a} h_{i-a,a}.$$

Then we choose $f_{n,j}$ such that for every $i \in \mathbf{u}_{n,j}$, the coefficient of $x^i y^{s_n+j-i}$ is $c_{i,j}$.

We claim that for $j \gg 0$, $f_{n,j} = 0$. To see this, we first observe that for $j \gg 0$, the coefficients of $x^i y^{s_n+j-i}$, $i \in \{s \in S \mid s < t_l\} \subseteq \mathbf{s}_{n,j}$, are all 0. Then if necessary, replacing j with a still larger integer, we obtain that $f_{n,j-1}, f_{n,j-2}, \dots, f_{n,j-t_{l_n}}$ all have this property. Then for every $i \in \mathbf{t}_{n,j}$, $c_{i,j} = 0$. From the uniqueness, $f_{n,j} = 0$.

Define $f_n := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_{n,j}$. Then f_n is divided by $(x + y)^{n+1}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &= \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} x^i y^{s_n+j-i} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \in S}} c_{i,j} x^i y^{s_n+j-i} + \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \in T}} \left(\sum_{a=1}^j c_{i-a,j-a} h_{i-a,a} \right) x^i y^{s_n+j-i} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i \in S}} c_{i,j} x^i y^{s_n+j-i} + \sum_{\substack{i,j,a \\ a > 0, i+a \in T}} c_{i,j} h_{i,a} x^{i+a} y^{s_n+j-i} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} c_{i,j} h_i y^{s_n+j-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $f_n \in R[[y]]$ and so $f_n \in I^{(n+1)}$. By construction,

$$f_n(x, 0) = x^{s_n} + (\text{higher terms}) \in \mathbf{a}_n.$$

Similarly for every $n' \geq n$, $f_{n'} \in I^{(n+1)}$, and

$$f_{n'}(x, 0) = x^{s_{n'}} + (\text{higher terms}) \in \mathfrak{a}_n.$$

Since

$$\text{length } R/(f_{n'}(x, 0); n' \geq n) = n + 1,$$

$\mathfrak{a}_n$ is in fact generated by $f_{n'}(x, 0)$, $n' \geq n$, and identical to $\{f \in R \mid \text{ord } f \geq s_n\}$. It follows that $I^{(n+1)}$ is generated by $f_{n'}$, $n' \geq n$.

Write

$$f_n \equiv f_n(x, 0) + g_n y \pmod{(y^2)}, \quad g_n \in R.$$

From Theorem 3.1, $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is regular if and only if for some $n' \geq n$, $g_{n'} \notin \mathfrak{a}_n$. For every $n' > n$, $g_{n'}$ has order $\geq s_n$, and so $g_{n'} \in \mathfrak{a}_n$.

Now $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ being regular is equivalent to that g_n has order $s_n - 1$, or equivalently $c_{s_n-1,0} \neq 0$. If $s_n - 1 \in T$, then $s_n - 1 = t_{l_n}$ and by the construction, $c_{s_n-1,0} = 0$. If $s_n - 1 \in S$, then put $\mathbf{u}'_{n,0} := \{t_1, \dots, t_{l_n}, s_n - 1\}$. From Lemma 3.4, the matrix $M(n+1; \mathbf{u}'_{n,0})$ is regular. We have

$$M(n+1; \mathbf{u}'_{n,0}) \begin{pmatrix} r_{n,0} \\ \vdots \\ r_{n,l_n-1} \\ r_{n,l_n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ c_{s_n-1,0} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Example 3.5. We note that for every numerical monoid S , there exists $R \subseteq k[[x]]$ whose associated monoid is S . Suppose that S is the numerical monoid generated by 5 and 7. Then

$$S = \{0, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, n; n \geq 24\}.$$

Theorem 3.3 now says that

$$\mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{singular} & (n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 11) \\ \text{regular} & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Example 3.6. If for some m , $S = \{0, m, m+1, m+2, \dots\}$, then for every $n > 0$, $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is regular.

3.3. Conjecture 0.1 for curves.

Corollary 3.7. *Suppose that k has characteristic 0. Let X be either a variety of dimension 1 or $\text{Spec } R$ with R a reduced local complete Noetherian ring with coefficient field k . Let $C \subseteq X$ be the conductor subscheme and $[Z] \in \mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ with $Z \not\subseteq C$. Then $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is regular at $[Z]$. In particular, Conjecture 0.1 is true in dimension 1.*

Proof. The second assertion is a consequence of the first and Theorem 2.4. We will now prove the first assertion. From Corollary 1.12, we may suppose that $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ with R a reduced local complete Noetherian ring with coefficient field k . From Proposition 2.5, Z is contained in a unique irreducible component of X , say X_0 . If C_0 is the conductor subscheme of X_0 , then from Proposition 2.5, we have $Z \not\subseteq C_0$. Hence it suffices to prove only the case where R is a domain, the case as in Theorem 3.3. With the notations as in Theorem 3.3, the conductor ideal $\mathfrak{c}$ of R is $(x^i; i > t_l)$. If $s_n > t_l + 1$, then from Theorem 3.3, $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is smooth. If $s_n \leq t_l + 1$, then as we saw in the proof of Theorem 3.3, $\mathfrak{a}_n = \{f \in R \mid \operatorname{ord} f \geq s_n\} \supseteq \mathfrak{c}$ and the condition, $Z \not\subseteq C$, is not satisfied. This completes the proof. $\square$

If $Z = C$, then $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is not generally smooth at $[Z]$. In fact, with $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ as in Theorem 3.3, if $n = i_0 := \max\{i \mid s_i - 1 \notin R\}$, then $Z_n = C$ and $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X)$ is not smooth at $[Z_n]$. Therefore we can not replace C in the corollary with any smaller subscheme of X .

3.4. Positive characteristic. As the following propositions show, it is impossible to resolve curve singularities in positive characteristic via higher Nash blowups.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ be as in Theorem 3.3. Suppose that k has characteristic $p > 0$. Then for $e \gg 0$,*

$$\mathbf{Nash}_{p^e-1}(X) \cong X.$$

Proof. For $e \gg 0$,

$$(x + y)^{p^e} = x^{p^e} + y^{p^e} \in R \hat{\otimes}_k R \subseteq k[[x, y]].$$

Let $\mathcal{W} \subseteq X \times_k X$ be the closed subscheme defined by the ideal $(x + y)^{p^e}$. If $q \in X$ is the image of the origin $o \in \tilde{X} = \operatorname{Spec} k[x]$, then the fiber of $\operatorname{pr}_2 : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow X$ over q is $\operatorname{Spec} R/x^{p^e}R$. From [Eis, Lem. 11.12],

$$\operatorname{length} R/x^{p^e}R = \operatorname{length} k[x]/x^{p^e}k[x] = p^e.$$

From [Eis, Ex. 20.13], $\operatorname{pr}_1 : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow X$ is flat. There exists a corresponding morphism

$$X \rightarrow \mathbf{Nash}_{p^e-1}(X),$$

which is the inverse of $\pi_{p^e-1} : \mathbf{Nash}_{p^e-1}(X) \rightarrow X$. We have proved the proposition. $\square$

Proposition 3.9. *Suppose that k has characteristic either 2 or 3. Let $X := \operatorname{Spec} k[[x^2, x^3]]$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \cong X$.*

Proof. We first consider the case of characteristic 2. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x + y)^n \\
&= x^n + nx^{n-1}y + \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} x^i y^{n-i}\right) + nxy^{n-1} + y^n \\
&= \begin{cases} x^n + \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} x^i y^{n-i}\right) + y^n & (n \text{ even}) \\ x^n + x^{n-1}y + \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n-2} \binom{n}{i} x^i y^{n-i}\right) + xy^{n-1} + y^n & (n \text{ odd}). \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus for odd n , $(x + y)^{n+1} \in R \hat{\otimes}_k R$. By the same argument with the proof of the last proposition, we see that $\mathbf{Nash}_n(X) \cong X$.

For even n , the coefficients of $x^{n+2}y$ and xy^{n+2} in $(x^2 + xy + y^2)(x + y)^{n+1}$ are both zero. Therefore $(x^2 + xy + y^2)(x + y)^{n+1} \in R \hat{\otimes}_k R$. For an ideal

$$I := ((x + y)^{n+2}, (x^2 + xy + y^2)(x + y)^{n+1}) \subseteq R \hat{\otimes}_k R,$$

we have

$$\text{length } R/IR = \text{length } R/(x^{n+2}, x^{n+3})R = n + 1.$$

Again by the same argument, we can show the assertion in the case where n is even.

We next consider the case of characteristic 3. Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (x + y)^n \in R \otimes_k R \quad (n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}), \\
& (x - y)(x + y)^n = (x^2 - y^2)(x + y)^{n-1} \in R \otimes_k R \quad (n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}), \\
& (x^2 + xy + y^2)(x + y)^n \in R \otimes_k R \quad (n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}).
\end{aligned}$$

For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define an ideal $I \subseteq R \otimes_k R$ as follows:

$$I := \begin{cases} ((x - y)(x + y)^{n+1}, (x + y)^{n+3}) & (n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}) \\ ((x + y)^{n+2}, (x^2 + xy + y^2)(x + y)^{n+1}) & (n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}) \\ ((x + y)^{n+1}) & (n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{length } R/IR &= \begin{cases} \text{length } R/x^{n+1}R \text{ or} \\ \text{length } R/(x^{n+2}, x^{n+3})R \end{cases} \\
&= n + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

We can similarly show the assertion. □

REFERENCES

- [ACGH] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P.A. Griffiths and J. Harris. *Geometry of algebraic curves. Volume I*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [Ben] B.M. Bennett. On the characteristic function of a local ring. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 91 (1970), 25–87.
- [DL] J. Denef and F. Loeser. *Germes of arcs on singular varieties and motivic integration*. Invent. Math. 135 (1999), 201–232.
- [Eis] D. Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- [Fog] J. Fogarty. *Algebraic families on an algebraic surface*. Amer. J. Math., 90 (1968), 511–521.
- [GS] G. González-Sprinberg. Résolution de Nash des points doubles rationnels. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 32, 2 (1982), 111–178.
- [Gro] A. Grothendieck. Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV, Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Quatrième partie. *Publ. Math. de l’I.H.E.S.* 32 (1967).
- [Hir] H. Hironaka. On Nash blowing-up. in *Arithmetic and Geometry II*, Birkhäuser. (1983), 103–111.
- [Hoc] M. Hochster. Presentation depth and the Lipman-Sathaye Jacobian theorem. *Homology Homotopy Appl.* 4(2) (2002), 295–314.
- [LS] J. Lipman and A. Sathaye. Jacobian ideals and a theorem of Briançon-Skoda. *Michigan Math. J.*, 28 (1981), 199–222.
- [Mat] H. Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [Nob] A. Nobile. Some properties of the Nash blowing-up. *Pac. J. Math.*, 60 (1975), 297–305.
- [OZ] A. Oneto and E. Zatini. Remarks on Nash blowing-up. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino*, Vol. 49, 1 (1991), 71–82.
- [Reb] V. Rebassoo. Desingularization properties of the Nash blowing-up process, Thesis, University of Washington (1977).
- [Spi] M. Spivakovsky. Sandwiched singularities and desingularization of surfaces by normalized Nash transformations. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 131 (1990), 411–491.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYOTO UNIVERSITY,
KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: takehiko@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp